

α_β -Contractive Mappings and Upclass of Type II Function

A. H. Ansari, S. Chandok, T. Došenović, S. Radenović

Abstract: Inspired by the recent result of N. Hussain et al. [N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi, F. Akbar, α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013, 2013:114] we introduced two new type functions, which we denoted as upclass of type II and α_β -contractive mappings. Specifically, all results of the mentioned paper is possible to generalize using this two types of functions.

Keywords: Complete metric space; altering distance function; α_β -contractive mapping; fixed point.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theory is one of the most significant branches of nonlinear analysis. Banach contraction principle [2], remarkable for simplicity is one of the most quoted in the overall results of the analysis with a special application of the theory of differential and integral equations. In the proof of this famous theorem he presented the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point, and also an iterative procedure for the construction of a fixed point. There are a lot of papers on the topic of generalization of Banach contraction principle. In attempt to generalize the mentioned principle, many researchers generalize the following result. One of the generalization is presented by the following fixed point theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (see, [1, 3, 4, 6]) *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.*

Manuscript received April 14, 2016; accepted September 10, 2016.

A. H. Ansari is with the Department of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran; S. Chandok is with the School of Mathematics, Thapar University Patiala, Punjab, India; T. Došenović is with the Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia; S. Radenović is with the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

N. Hussein et al. [5] generalized above mentioned result using α -admissible mappings.

Definition 1.2 [7] Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping if

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1, x, y \in X.$$

Their results are the following fixed point theorems.

Theorem 1.3 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$(d(Tx, Ty) + l)^{\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty)} \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y) + l \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $l > 1$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, fx) \geq$

1.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point.

Remark 1.4 It is obvious that in the case when $\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty) = 1$ Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 are the same.

Theorem 1.5 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$(\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty) + 1)^{d(Tx, Ty)} \leq 2^{\beta(d(x, y))d(x, y)} \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $l > 1$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, fx) \geq$

1.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point.

Remark 1.6 It is obvious that in the case when $\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty) = 1$ Theorem 1.5 is reduced to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.7 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $l > 1$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, fx) \geq$

1.

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point.

Remark 1.8 It is obvious that in the case when $\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty) = 1$ Theorem 1.5 is reduced to Theorem 1.1.

2 Main results

In this paper, in order to generalized conditions (1) and (2) we introduced the concepts of pair (f, h) which we noted as upclass of type II, as well as the notion of α_β -contractive mappings.

First of all we define a function of subclass of type II in the following way:

Definition 2.1 We say that $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of subclass of type II if is continuous and

$$x, y \geq 1 \implies h(1, 1, z) \leq h(x, y, z)$$

Example 2.2 Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then

$$(1) h(x, y, z) = (z + l)^{xy}, l > 1,$$

$$(2) h(x, y, z) = (xy + l)^z, l > 0,$$

$$(3) h(x, y, z) = z,$$

$$(4) h(x, y, z) = x^m y^n z^p, m, n, p \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(5) h(x, y, z) = \frac{x^m + x^n y^p + y^q}{3} z^k, m, n, p, q, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 2.3 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that the pair (f, h) is upclass of type II if f is a continuous function, h is a subclass of type II and

$$0 \leq s \leq 1 \implies f(s, t) \leq f(1, t),$$

$$h(1, 1, z) \leq f(s, t) \Rightarrow z \leq st.$$

Example 2.4 Let $s \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then

$$(1) h(x, y, z) = (z + l)^{xy}, l > 1, f(s, t) = st + \frac{l}{e}, e \geq 1,$$

$$(2) h(x, y, z) = (xy + l)^z, l > 0, f(s, t) = (1 + l)^{st},$$

$$(3) h(x, y, z) = z, f(s, t) = st,$$

$$(4) h(x, y, z) = x^m y^n z^p, m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}, f(s, t) = s^p t^p,$$

$$(5) h(x, y, z) = \frac{x^m + x^n y^p + y^q}{3} z^k, m, n, p, q, k \in \mathbb{N}, f(s, t) = s^k t^k.$$

Definition 2.5 A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

1. ψ is continuous and non-decreasing;
2. $\psi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0$.

We denote by Ψ the set of all altering distance functions.

Definition 2.6 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. A mapping T is said to be α_β -contractive mapping if there exists a $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ with the property that $t_n \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ as well as for all $x, y \in F$, following condition holds:

$$h(\alpha(x, Tx), \alpha(y, Ty), \psi(d(Tx, Ty))) \leq f(\beta(d(x, y)), \psi(d(x, y))), \quad (4)$$

where pair (f, h) is a upclass of type II and $\psi \in \Psi$.

Remark 2.7 If $h(x, y, z) = (z + l)^{xy}$, $l > 1$, $f(x, y) = xy + l$ and $\psi(t) = t$, in condition (4), we have condition (1).

Remark 2.8 If $h(x, y, z) = (xy + 1)^z$, $f(x, y) = (1 + m)^{xy}$, $m = 1$ and $\psi(t) = t$, in (4), we have condition (2).

Remark 2.9 If $h(x, y, z) = xyz$, $f(x, y) = xy$ and $\psi(t) = t$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$ in (4), we have condition (3).

References

- [1] A. A. Harandi, H. Emami, *A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010) 2238-2242.
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fundam. Math., **3**, (1922), 133-181.
- [3] M. Geraghty, *On contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973) 604-608.
- [4] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, *Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009) 3403-3410.
- [5] N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi, F. Akbar, *α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2013), 2013:114.
- [6] J. Jachymski, *Equivalent conditions for generalized contractions on (ordered) metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011) 768-774.
- [7] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorem for $\alpha - \psi$ contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012) 2154-2165.